

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,122 06/27/2003		Mark Doherty	115-30(4)US/12667/100112 5577		
23838	7590 07/27/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON .			NGUYEN, PATRICIA T		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/607,12		DOHERTY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Patricia T	Nauven	2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,20,22,23,25,29,31 and 44 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 2-19,21,24,26-28,30 and 32-43 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I		·152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,122

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 32, line 1, "according to <u>20</u>" should appear to be read -- according to <u>25</u> -- because this is a method claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 25, 29, 31, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Epperson et al., U.S. Patent # 6,701,138 B2.

Fig. 2 of Epperson et al. discloses a circuit comprising: input port at RFin can be read as an input port; port at RFout can be read as an output port; port at VRAMP can be read as a control port; signal VRAMP can be read as control signal; port at VPRIM can be read as a supply voltage input port; voltage regulator 70 can be read as a regulator circuit; feedback path through circuit 72 can be read as a direct feedback path (note: the regulated supply voltage is provided to the regulator circuit using a direct

Art Unit: 2817

feedback path); first stage amplifier 60 can be read as a first amplification stage; third stage amplifier 64 can be read as a second amplification stage.

Regarding claim 25, although Epperson et al. does not have his method of operating a multi-stage amplifier circuit written out structurally, his method resides in his apparatus.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epperson et al., U.S. Patent # 6,701,138 B2 in view of Choumei et al., U.S. Patent # 6,236,266 B1.

Although Epperson et al. does not have the detail that each amplification stage comprises at least a transistor having one of the emitter and collector terminals coupled to one of the supply voltage and regulated supply voltage and the other one of the emitter and collector terminals coupled to ground or a capacitor disposed between the first amplification stage and the second amplification stage, Choumei et al. teach in Fig. 1 of his circuit such a connection, therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Choumei et al. in the circuit of Epperson et al. in order to have an optimum use for Epperson et al. circuit.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-19, 21, 22, 24, 26-28, 30, 32-43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents # 6,727,754 B2, # 6,639,470 B1, # 6,194,968 B1 contain some limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1927. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-309-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,122 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PTN

July 26, 2004

PATRICIA NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patricia Nguyer